

# LECTURE NOTES: STEIN-TOMAS RESTRICTION THEOREM AND STRICHARTZ ESTIMATES FOR SCHRÖDINGER'S EQUATION

STEVE HOFMANN

## 1. STEIN-TOMAS RESTRICTION THEOREM

We recall the following decay estimate for the Fourier transform.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Suppose that  $S \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  is a smooth hyper-surface with everywhere non-vanishing Gaussian curvature, and suppose that  $d\mu = \psi d\sigma$ , where  $\psi \in C_0^\infty$ , and  $d\sigma$  denotes surface measure on  $S$ . Then*

$$\widehat{\mu}(X) \leq C|X|^{-n/2},$$

where  $\widehat{\cdot}$  denotes the Fourier transform.

In this note we will prove the following pair of Theorems.

**Theorem 1.2. (Stein - Tomas restriction theorem).** *Suppose that  $S \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  is a smooth hyper-surface with everywhere non-vanishing Gaussian curvature, and suppose that  $d\mu = \psi d\sigma$ , where  $\psi \in C_0^\infty$ , and  $d\sigma$  denotes surface measure on  $S$ . Then for  $1 \leq p \leq p_{n+1} := (2n + 4)/(n + 4)$ , we have*

$$(1.3) \quad \left( \int_S |\widehat{f}(\zeta)|^2 d\mu(\zeta) \right)^{1/2} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})},$$

where the implicit constant depends upon  $p, n, \psi$  and  $S$ .

**Theorem 1.4. (Strichartz estimate for Schrödinger's equation).** *Suppose that  $u = u(x, t)$  solves the initial value problem for Schrodinger's equation:*

$$(IVPS) \quad \begin{cases} iu_t + \Delta u = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} \\ u(x, 0) = g(x) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n). \end{cases}$$

Then

$$(1.5) \quad \|u\|_{L^{q_s}(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})} \leq C \|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

where  $q_s \equiv (2n + 4)/n$ .

We remark that more general results (namely, for “mixed norm” spaces) are true, and in fact, our proof below will yield them.

We consider first Theorem 1.2.

*Proof.* By a partition of unity, we may suppose that  $\text{supp } \psi \cap S$  is contained in a co-ordinate patch of  $S$ , on which  $S$  coincides with a graph  $\tau = \varphi(\xi)$ , where

$\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and has non-vanishing Hessian matrix. Then  $d\sigma = \sqrt{1 + |\nabla\varphi(\xi)|^2} d\xi$  (at least locally), and

$$(1.6) \quad \int_S |\widehat{f}(\zeta)|^2 d\mu(\zeta) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\widehat{f}(\xi, \varphi(\xi))|^2 \psi(\xi, \varphi(\xi)) \sqrt{1 + |\nabla\varphi(\xi)|^2} d\xi \\ = \int \widehat{f}(\xi, \varphi(\xi)) \overline{\widehat{f}(\xi, \varphi(\xi))} \widetilde{\psi}(\xi) d\xi,$$

where  $\widetilde{\psi}(\xi) := \psi(\xi, \varphi(\xi)) \sqrt{1 + |\nabla\varphi(\xi)|^2}$ . Writing out the Fourier transform, this equals (up to a multiplicative constant)

$$(1.7) \quad \int \left( \iint e^{-i(x \cdot \xi + t\varphi(\xi))} f(x, t) dx dt \right) \left( \iint e^{i(y \cdot \xi + s\varphi(\xi))} \overline{f(y, s)} dy ds \right) \widetilde{\psi}(\xi) d\xi \\ = \iint f(x, t) \iint \left[ \int e^{-i(x-y) \cdot \xi - i(t-s)\varphi(\xi)} \widetilde{\psi}(\xi) d\xi \right] \overline{f(y, s)} dy ds dx dt \\ = \iint f(x, t) \left( \iint K_{t-s}(x-y) \overline{f(y, s)} dy ds \right) dx dt \\ =: \iint f(x, t) \int T_{t-s} \overline{f(\cdot, s)}(x) ds dx dt$$

where

$$T_{t-s}g(x) := K_{t-s} * g(x),$$

and

$$(1.8) \quad K_{t-s}(x) := C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-ix \cdot \xi - i(t-s)\varphi(\xi)} \widetilde{\psi}(\xi) d\xi = \mathcal{F} \left( e^{-i(t-s)\varphi(\xi)} \widetilde{\psi}(\xi) \right) (x),$$

and where for purposes of legibility we have used an alternative notation for the  $n$ -dimensional Fourier Transform acting in the space variables only:

$$\mathcal{F}(h) := \widehat{h} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n.$$

We now record several observations. First, recalling the definition of  $\widetilde{\psi}$ , we see that  $K_{t-s}(x-y) = \widehat{\mu}(x-y, t-s)$ , so that by Theorem 1.1 we have

$$(1.9) \quad |K_{t-s}(x-y)| \leq C |(x-y, t-s)|^{-n/2} \leq C |t-s|^{-n/2}.$$

Consequently,

$$(1.10) \quad \|T_{t-s}g\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C |t-s|^{-n/2} \|g\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Moreover,

$$\mathcal{F}(K_{t-s})(\xi) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(K_{t-s})(-\xi) = e^{-i(t-s)\varphi(-\xi)} \widetilde{\psi}(-\xi),$$

where in the last step we have used (1.8). Thus  $\|\mathcal{F}(K_{t-s})\|_\infty \leq \|\widetilde{\psi}\|_\infty$ , so that by Plancherel,

$$(1.11) \quad \|T_{t-s}g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} = C \|\mathcal{F}(K_{t-s})\widehat{g}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \|\widetilde{\psi}\|_\infty \|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Interpolating between (1.10) and (1.11) we obtain

$$(1.12) \quad \|T_{t-s}g\|_{L^{r'}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C |t-s|^{-\beta} \|g\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \quad r = \frac{2}{1+\theta}, \quad \beta = \frac{\theta n}{2},$$

where  $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$ , since  $r^{-1} = (1 - \theta)/2 + \theta$  is equivalent to  $r = 2/(1 + \theta)$ . Moreover, by the H-L-S Theorem, the 1-dimensional fractional integral operator

$$I_{1-\beta} h(t) := c \int_{\mathbb{R}} |t - s|^{-\beta} h(s) ds$$

satisfies

$$(1.13) \quad \|I_{1-\beta} h\|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R})} \leq C \|h\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})}, \quad p = \frac{4}{4 - n\theta},$$

where  $(p - 1)/p = (p')^{-1} = p^{-1} - 1 + \beta$  and  $\beta = \theta n/2$ . Indeed, solving for  $p$ , we obtain  $p = 2/(2 - \beta) = 4/(4 - n\theta)$ .

We define the mixed norm space  $L_t^p L_x^r$  as the space of measurable functions on  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  for which the norm

$$\|u\|_{L_t^p L_x^r} \equiv \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u(x, t)|^r dx \right)^{p/r} dt \right)^{1/p}$$

is finite. We now claim that the following ‘‘Generalized Stein-Tomas estimate’’ holds:

$$(1.14) \quad \left( \int_S |\widehat{f}|^2 d\mu \right)^{1/2} \lesssim \|f\|_{L_t^p L_x^r}, \quad r = \frac{2}{1 + \theta}, \quad p = \frac{4}{4 - n\theta}, \quad 0 \leq \theta < \frac{2}{n},$$

where the implicit constant depends upon  $n, \psi, \mathcal{S}, p$  and  $r$ . We note that Theorem will follow, once we have established the claim. Indeed,  $r = p$  when  $\theta = 4/(4 + 2n)$  and  $p = p_{n+1} = (2n + 4)/(n + 4)$ , which is Theorem 1.2.

We establish the claim as follows. Combining (1.6) and (1.7) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_S |\widehat{f}|^2 d\mu &= \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}} f(x, t) \int_{\mathbb{R}} T_{t-s} \overline{f(\cdot, s)}(x) ds dx dt \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x, t) T_{t-s} \overline{f(\cdot, s)}(x) dx \right) ds dt \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \|f(\cdot, t)\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|T_{t-s} \overline{f(\cdot, s)}\|_{L^{r'}(\mathbb{R}^n)} ds dt \\ &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}} \|f(\cdot, t)\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^n)} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |t - s|^{-\beta} \|f(\cdot, s)\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^n)} ds dt, \end{aligned}$$

where in the second line we have used Fubini’s Theorem, in the third line Hölder’s inequality, and in the last line (1.12). Setting  $h(t) = \|f(\cdot, t)\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ , we have that the last line equals (up to a multiplicative constant)

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} h(t) (I_{1-\beta} h)(t) dt \leq \|h\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})} \|I_{1-\beta} h\|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim \|h\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})}^2$$

by (1.13). By definition,  $\|h\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})} = \|f\|_{L_t^p L_x^r}$ , and the claim follows.  $\square$

## 2. SCHRÖDINGER’S EQUATION

*Proof.* Observe that, up to a normalization, the Fourier transform (in the space variable) of the solution to IVPS is given by

$$(2.1) \quad \widehat{u}(\xi, t) = e^{-it|\xi|^2} \widehat{g}(\xi).$$

Indeed, then

$$i \frac{\partial \widehat{u}}{\partial t} = i(-i|\xi|^2) \widehat{u} = |\xi|^2 \widehat{u} = C(\widehat{\Delta u}).$$

Taking inverse transforms, we see that  $u$  solves IVPS, except for the normalization; the convergence to the initial data holds in the  $L^2$  norm, by Plancherel. Note that the solution can be extended to the lower half space in the same way, so that we might as well consider our solution to exist in all of  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ . To prove estimate (1.5), we dualize. Let  $q \equiv q_s$ , and let  $p = q/(q - 1)$  be the dual exponent. Note that we then have  $p = p_{n+1} = (2n + 4)/(n + 4)$ . More generally, we shall prove

$$(2.2) \quad \|u\|_{L_t^p L_x^r} \leq C(p, r) \|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

where  $p, r$  are the same exponents as in (1.14). Let  $h \in L_t^p L_x^r$ , and consider

$$\iint h(x, t) u(x, t) dx dt = C \iint h(x, t) \int e^{ix \cdot \xi} e^{-it|\xi|^2} \hat{g}(\xi) d\xi dx dt$$

(where we have expressed  $u$  as the inverse Fourier transform of (1.6)). By Fubini, this equals

$$\int \hat{g}(\xi) \left( \iint e^{-i(x \cdot \xi + t|\xi|^2)} h(-x, t) dx dt \right) d\xi$$

(where we have changed variables  $x \rightarrow -x$ ), which in turn equals

$$\int \hat{g}(\xi) \widehat{f}(\xi, |\xi|^2) d\xi,$$

where  $f(x, t) \equiv h(-x, t)$ . By Cauchy-Schwartz, the last expression is bounded in absolute value by

$$\|g\|_2 \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\widehat{f}(\xi, |\xi|^2)|^2 d\xi \right)^{1/2}.$$

Thus, it is enough to prove that

$$(2.3) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\widehat{f}(\xi, |\xi|^2)|^2 d\xi \leq \|f\|_{L_t^p L_x^r}^2.$$

Estimate (2.3) is the ‘‘Stein-Tomas’’ restriction estimate for the paraboloid. We first note that

$$(2.4) \quad \int_{\frac{1}{2} \leq |\xi| \leq 2} |\widehat{f}(\xi, |\xi|^2)|^2 d\xi \leq C \|f\|_{L_t^p L_x^r}^2$$

Indeed, setting  $\varphi(\xi) := |\xi|^2$ ,  $d\sigma(\xi) := \sqrt{1 + |\nabla \varphi(\xi)|^2} d\xi$ ,  $\psi(\xi) := \Phi(|\xi|) / \sqrt{1 + |\nabla \varphi(\xi)|^2}$ , with  $\Phi \in C_0^\infty(1/4, 4)$ ,  $0 \leq \Phi \leq 1$  and  $\Phi \equiv 1$  on  $(1/2, 2)$ , and  $\psi d\sigma =: d\mu$ , we see that

$$\int_{\frac{1}{2} \leq |\xi| \leq 2} |\widehat{f}(\xi, |\xi|^2)|^2 d\xi \lesssim \int_S |\widehat{f}|^2 \psi d\mu,$$

where  $S$  is the paraboloid  $\tau = |\xi|^2$ , so that ((2.4) follows immediately from (1.14). We now claim that

$$(2.5) \quad \int_{2^{k-1} \leq |\xi| \leq 2^{k+1}} |\widehat{f}(\xi, |\xi|^2)|^2 d\xi \leq C \|f\|_{L_t^p L_x^r}^2,$$

uniformly in  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Let us assume momentarily that (2.5) holds. We choose a smooth partition of unity  $1 = \sum_{k=-\infty}^\infty (\eta_k(\xi))^2$ , where  $\eta_k(\xi) = \eta(|\xi|/2^k)$  and  $\eta \in$

$C_0^\infty(1/2, 2)$ , with  $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$ . Set  $\widehat{f}_k := \widehat{f}\eta_k$ , so that  $|\widehat{f}|^2 = \sum |\widehat{f}_k|^2$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\widehat{f}(\xi, |\xi|^2)|^2 d\xi &= \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\widehat{f}_k(\xi, |\xi|^2)|^2 d\xi \\ &\leq C \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \|f_k\|_{L_t^p L_x^r}^2 \leq C \left\| \left( \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} |f_k|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L_t^p L_x^r}^2 \\ &\leq C \|f\|_{L_t^p L_x^r}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where in the second line we have used first the claim (2.5) and then Minkowski's inequality (since  $p, r \leq 2$ ), and in the third line we have used the standard discrete Littlewood-Paley estimate in the  $x$  variable (since  $f_k = f * \eta_k =: Q_k f$ , acting in the  $x$  variable).

Finally, to prove the claim, we observe that by the change of variable  $\xi \rightarrow 2^k \xi$ , the left hand side of (2.5) equals

$$\int_{\frac{1}{2} \leq |\xi| \leq 2} |\widehat{f}^k(\xi, |\xi|^2)|^2 d\xi \leq \|f^k\|_{L_t^p L_x^r}^2,$$

by (2.4), where  $\widehat{f}^k(\xi, |\xi|^2) = 2^{kn/2} \widehat{f}(2^k \xi, |2^k \xi|^2)$ . It is therefore enough to show that  $\|f^k\|_{L_t^p L_x^r}^2 = \|f\|_{L_t^p L_x^r}^2$ . To this end, we observe that by definition

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{f}^k(\xi, |\xi|^2) &= 2^{kn/2} \widehat{f}(2^k \xi, |2^k \xi|^2) \\ &= 2^{kn/2} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}} e^{-i2^k \xi \cdot x} e^{-i|2^k \xi|^2 t} f(x, t) dx dt \\ &= 2^{-k(2+n/2)} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}} e^{-i\xi \cdot x} e^{-i|\xi|^2 t} f(2^{-k} x, 2^{-2k} t) dx dt, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last line we have used the change of variable  $x \rightarrow x/2^k$ ,  $t \rightarrow t/2^{2k}$ ; i.e.,

$$f^k(x, t) = 2^{-k(2+n/2)} f(2^{-k} x, 2^{-2k} t).$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \|f^k\|_{L_t^p L_x^r}^2 &= \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(2^{-k} x, 2^{-2k} t)|^r dx \right)^{p/r} dt \right)^{1/p} 2^{-k(2+n/2)} \\ &= 2^{kn/r} 2^{2k/p} 2^{-k(2+n/2)} \|f\|_{L_t^p L_x^r}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last line we have made the change of variable  $x \rightarrow 2^k x$ ,  $t \rightarrow 2^{2k} t$ . Plugging in  $r = 2/(1 + \theta)$ ,  $p = 4/(4 - n\theta)$ , we obtain after a computation that the exponent  $k[n/r + 2/p - (2 + n/2)] = 0$ .  $\square$